LESSON FERTILITY LEVELS FIVE AND TRENDS

Aynalem Adugna, January 2021 www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org



Click here to return to the main page \rightarrow <u>www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org</u>

Introduction

Accurate data on fertility in Ethiopia had been hard to come by until the start of the 1990 National Family and Fertility Survey (FFS), and the Demographic and Health surveys (DHS). There have been four DHS surveys (in 2000, 2005 and 2011, 2016). Fertility statistics for the pre-2000 years come mainly from general-purpose (not fertility-specific) national sample surveys, and two censuses. At a sub-national level, the 1995 Fertility Survey of Urban Addis Ababa, and the 1997 Community and Family Survey (CFS) in SNNPR – a collaboration between the Demographic Training and Research Center (DTRC) of Addis Ababa University and the Population Studies and Training Center (PSTC) of Brown University - can be mentioned.

"The 1990 National Family and Fertility Survey (NFFS) was the first nationally representative survey that incorporated wider information on fertility, family planning, contraceptive use and other related topics. In addition to the topics covered by the NFFS, the 2000 EDHS collected information on maternal and child health, nutrition and breastfeeding practices, HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases." [1]

One might wonder what the fertility trend had been over the stated period of 31 years covered in these surveys (1990 - 2021). The researchers in the SNNPR fertility study partly tested the hypothesis of a possible continuation, into the future, of a high fertility regime in Ethiopia. Ethnic diversity was the assumed driver of such a trend:

"As in many African counties, ethnic groups in Ethiopia have remained quite distinct for a long period. This long period of exclusiveness, compounded by ethnic competition for political power, resulted in increased intensity of ethnic affiliation. Ethnic commitments in Ethiopia intensified after the new government came to power in May 1991. Since then an increasing number of ethnic-based political parties have been formed and new federal regions have been demarcated along ethnic lines. This in turn has led to a greater ethnic sentiment and increasing variety in its social institutions, and the issue of numerical strength has gained salience, especially among minority ethnic groups. Given this increasing pattern of ethnic intensity, any differences in fertility and fertility-related behaviors will have important social, economic, and political consequences for the future of the nation." [2]

However, their detailed analyses using the entropy index showed that ethnic diversity in Ethiopia (and the presumed competition for influence through sheer numbers did not condemn the country to a perpetually high fertility regime. One of their conclusions regarding SNNPR was:

"...neither ethnoreligious group dominance, religiosity, nor community diversity is an obstacle for efforts to promote fertility limitation practices in the SNNPR. Moreover, community diversity, rather than being an inhibiting factor, appears to be strongly associated with key ingredients for reproductive preferences, attitudes, and behavior that will lead to lowered fertility." [2]

How about the rest of Ethiopia? Has fertility been rising or falling? More than politics or ethnic loyalties the answer could be found in whether or not there have been, or will be, changes in proximate determinants (age at marriage, proportion of married women, contraceptive prevalence, and lactational amenorrhea). Another question would be whether or not differentials and trends in these variables, say between urban and rural areas, or between the Guraghe and the Sidama ethnic groups, will lead to differences in fertility levels and trends. Proximate determinants are discussed in detail in the next lesson but we will take a brief look at just two of them here– proportion married and percentage using contraceptives.

The 2005 DHS shows a clear urban-rural difference, but since breakdowns by ethnicity are not available in published reports differences between regions, can be thought of as crude indicators, even though there are ethnic diversities within the regions themselves.

Nearly two thirds of Ethiopian women are already married by the time they reach age 25 and more than a fifth are married by age 15. However Fig. 4.1 confirms that the latter did not marry men who were in their own age; only 2% of males in the 15-19 group were married at the time of the 2005 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS). The CSA reported a population of over 4 million women in the 15-19 age groups [4] of whom about 800,000 were already married. The highest proportion of married women was in the 30-34 age groups after which the percentages declined somewhat due to the combined effects of divorce, separation, and widowhood. It is not our intention here to try and tease out the contributions of each of these factors.

Nearly two-thirds of Ethiopian women (65%) and the majority of men (56%) are currently married, and 11% percent of currently married women report that their husband has multiple wives [1]. This means that marriage is nearly universal in the country, and women marry about 6.6 years earlier than men on average. The median age at first marriage is 17.1 years for women and 23.7 years for men who are currently in the age group of 25-49 [1]. A related concept is that of sexual initiation which can be expressed in terms of the median age at first sexual intercourse which is typically 0.5 years earlier than the median age at first marriage for women, and 2.5 years earlier for men [1]. This is an indication that both women and men engage in premarital sex although this is not true of all men or women. Interesting trends emerge when looking at changes over time including dramatic changes in age at first marriage for women and girls. "More than 30% of women born in the seventies married before age 15, while for those born in the nineties, this indicator is around 10 percent".

There is a visible trend of a shift away from early marriage toward a delay until later ages for women. For instance, the percentage of women 45-49 who got married before age 15 was 29% According to the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS 2016), while this indicator was 14% for women in the age group of 20-24 during the survey and 6% for the youngest women (15-19) [1]. This trend is also reflected in rising median age at first marriage among women age 25-49 which increased ever so slightly since 2011, from 16.5 years to 17.1 years. The proportion of women marrying before age 18 declined from 63% to 58% during the same time period. There are observable trends among men as well as those aged 25-59 saw their median age at first marriage increase slightly from 23.1 years in 2011 to 23.8 years in 2016 [1]

Also notable is the differential by urban-rural residence, by region and by education. Urban women marry later by 2.6 years than rural women (19.3 years versus 16.7 years). Median age at first marriage for women varied by region, from 15.7 years in Amhara to 23.9 years in Addis Ababa. The median age at first marriage increases with increasing education, from 16.3 years among women with no education to 24.0 years among women with more than a secondary education [1].



Fig. 5.1A. Ethiopian Women's Marital Status by Afge group (DHS 2016)

Fig. 5.1B. Men's Marital Status by Age group (DHS 2016)



Source: Based on [1]

Figures 5.2A to 5.2C show breakdowns of who made the decision to marry by age group, region of residence, educational background and wealth index. In a country with a population of nearly 116 million as of December 31 2020, women's ability to decide their own life course including whether or not to marry and/or have a child is critical for further projecting future population growth rates. This is because, population histories show declining Click here to return to the main page → www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org

birth rates with rise in women's ability to assert their fife choices in tandem with socioeconomic changes and women's rights legislations guaranteeing them rights to reproductive choices and whom to marry and when.

The 2016 DHS survey asked ever-married respondents age 15-49 about the person who made the decision when they married the first time. The results show more than one-third of ever-married women age 15-49 (35%) responding that they made the decision to marry, with the remaining two thirds reporting that someone else made the decision for them with 61% stating that their parents made the decision and 3% reporting the decision as having been made by other family members or relatives. However, these percentages varied significantly by age, urban-rural residence, region or *Kilil*, educational background, and wealth index.

Younger women were far more likely than older women to make the decision to engage in marriage although only 41% of ever-married women age 15-19 and 47% of women age 20-24 made their own decision to marry [1]. Similar to all previous surveys, DHS 2016 made it clear that parents play a greater role in marriage decisions in rural areas than urban areas with 66% of ever-married women in rural areas reporting that their parents made the decision as compared to 39% for urban women. T Region/*Kilil* levels Amhara tops the list with 83% of marriages resulting from parental decision - 82% in Afar and 80% in Tigray – and lowest in Harari (24%) and Addis Ababa (21%). The role of education is equally start with only 25% of uneducated women making own decision to marry versus 83% for women with more than secondary education.





Click here to return to the main page \rightarrow <u>www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org</u>





Fig. 5.2C. Percentages by Person Making Decision for a Woman's First Marriage by Wealth Index (DHS, 2016)



Source: Based on [1]

Click here to return to the main page \rightarrow

www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org

Family Planning

Over a third (36.0%) of currently married Ethiopian women are using modern contraception. This is progress given the very low base line rate of 4.8 % documented in the 1990 NFFS and 6.0% in the 2000 DHS [1]. At 1.0% the proportion of married women using traditional methods of birth control is very low. The remaining 35% use modern methods. The breakdown by age groups reveals the same thing (Figure 5.3A – 5.3C). There is a preponderance of reliance on modern methods both among young and older women to a near exclusion of traditional (natural) family planning techniques.





Source: [1]

Figure 5.3A shows that injectable represent the most commonly used modern contraceptive method in Ethiopia followed by an irreversible method - female sterilization.

Fig. 5.3B. Percentage Contraceptive Use Among Currently Married Women by Type of Modern method and by Region (DHS, 2016)



Fig. 5.3C. Percentage Contraceptive Use Among Currently Married Women by Type of Modern method and by Region



Source: based on [1]

Fertility Measures

Click here to return to the main page \rightarrow www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org

Period Fertility Rates

Samuel Preston's book entitled "Demography: Measuring and Modeling Population Processes" by Blackwell Publishers (2001) will form the basis of all discussions in lesson five [3]. It defined fertility as "... the increment process by which living members of a population produce live births, that is, new living members of the population". They defined reproductivity as well - a related concept but not identical to fertility:

"Although often associated with fertility, the term "reproduction" in demographic parlance refers to the process by which new members of a population replace outgoing members, a process that may comprise mortality as well as fertility. [3].

Fertility is a much more complex subject to study than mortality. Firstly, unlike mortality, it involves two individuals of opposite sex. Secondly, unlike, mortality the "risk" of producing an offspring is not universal or identical for all females. Thirdly, unlike death, giving birth is a repeatable event. Fourthly, giving birth is an avoidable event, and can be timed or delayed.

For easy of analysis, it has been customary to relate births to mothers only (to the exclusion of fathers) and calculate rates by linking numbers of babies born to the numbers of women in their reproductive ages. A related concept is that of *fecundity* which refers to a woman's biological potential to reproduce. The difference between fertility and fecundity is in that fertility relates to actual numbers of births per individual women whereas fecundity refers to their biological potential to reproduce.

Crude Birth Rate

The term *period* in period fertility refers to the compilation of data and reporting of results pertaining only to a very specific point in time. It shows a snap-shot of the demographic event under consideration as observed at the time of the survey. For instance, the rates for Ethiopia obtained from the 2005 Demographic and Health Survey relate only to the year 2005, and any projection into the future assumes that the observed rate will remain the same into that future date. "A period rate for a population is constructed by limiting the count of occurrences and exposure times to those pertaining to members of the population during a specified period of time" [3]

The crude birth rate (**CBR**) between time 0 and T:

$$CBR [0,T] = \frac{\text{Number of births in the population between times 0 and T}}{\text{Number of person - years lived in the population between times 0 and T}}$$

Figure 5.4 shows CBR trends for Ethiopia and all of its neighbors – Eritrea, Sudan, Djibouti, Kenya, South Sudan and Kenya. The trend is one of significant decreases over time with rates coming down from about 50 births per thousand in 1960 to just over 20 in Djibouti in 2018. Djibouti had a lower CBR even in the 60s than the rest of its African Neighbors. The wild up and down swings in Eritrea in the 1990s and 2000s is very likely due to data error.



11

Figure 5.4. Crude Birth Rate: Ethiopia and its Neighbors (1960 – 2018)

Source: Based on [7]

The CBR figures shown above indicate the number of births per thousand. The rate of 32 per thousand in Ethiopia translates into a total of 3,712,000 births per year. This is the number of babies born in Ethiopia yearly when calculated on the basis of this CBR and a total population estimate of 116 million (as of December 31, 2020) [8].

The main drawback of the CBR as a measure of fertility is that the denominator is the entire population even though more than half of the population (males, females younger than 13 and older than 50) are "non-relevant" to the reproduction process because they don't give birth. Thus," the CBR only loosely approximates an occurrence/exposure fertility rate because only women in their reproductive ages can actually give birth.... The refinement of exposure gives rise to the General Fertility Rate (GFR)" [3].

$GFR [0,T] = \underbrace{Number \ of \ births \ in \ a \ population \ between \ time \ 0 \ and \ T}_{Person-years \ lived \ between \ times \ 0 \ ad \ T \ by \ women \ aged \ 15 \ and \ 50} X \ 1000$

In the case of Ethiopia, the numerator is the calculated number of births (above) and the denominator (year 2020) is the population of women in the reproductive ages shown below:

Age group	Number of females		
15-19	6,432,598		
20-24	5,816,173		
25-29	4,802,450		
30-34	3,757,544		
35-39	3,182,837		
40-44	2,488,422		
45-49	2,033,228		
Total	28,513,252		

Table 5.2 Numbers of Ethiopian Women in the Reproductive Age Group 15 – 49, (2020 Estimate)

Source: Based on [9]

The number of births was 3,539,945. The GFR for Ethiopia is calculated as follows:

$$GFR = \frac{3,712,000}{28,513,252} X \ 1000 = 1 \ 3 \ 0 \ . \ 2$$

The two calculations above can be summed up as follows:

- Thirty-two babies are born each year in a community numbering 1000.
- Roughly 130 babies are born each year per 1000 female members of the community in their reproductive years.

One of the main drawbacks of the GFR measure as applied to traditional societies like Ethiopia lies in the distortions resulting from the addition to the 15-19 age group of a not insignificant number of births to women below the age of 15.

A crude birth rate can also be calculated based on GFR values as follows:

CBR $[0, T] = GFR [0, T] * {}_{35}C^{F_{15}} [0, T]$

Where ${}_{35}C^{F}{}_{15}$ [0, T] "....is the proportion of person-years lived in the population that is lived by females between the exact age 15 and 50" [3]

The calculation for Ethiopia is as follow:



Comparative studies frequently show a slight mismatch in rankings of countries when based on CBR and GFR. That is to say, a county ranked top or bottom on the basis of its CBR isn't necessarily ranked top or bottom on the basis of GFR. This shows that, even though both CBR and GFR are crude measures of fertility, the stories they tell are slightly different. For instance, a certain population (A) with a larger percentage of women in the reproductive age groups due, say, to new in-migration, could end up having the same annual numbers of births and the same CBR as another population (B) of a similar size, but with a lower GFR, because all of the new migrants would be included in the numerator even though greater percentages of them, than the native-born women, did not have births during the year in question.

Age Specific Rates

Z

Age is the single most important determinant of fertility. There are great variations in the fertility of women in their late teens, late twenties, or late thirties. A single measure like GFR, which encompasses the entire reproductive period, is, therefore, both inadequate and incapable of showing real fertility differences by age. A further refinement of the GFR produces the Age Specific Fertility Rates (ASFR), denoted in the formula below as ${}_{n}F_{x}$.

 $_{n}F_{x}[0,T] =$ Births in the period 0 to T to women aged x to x+n Person-years lived in the period 0 to T by women aged x to x+n Age specific fertility rates are often expressed per 1000. Normally, seven rates are calculated one for each age group 15-19, 20-24...45-49, but calculations of single-year rates are also common. It is necessary to have birth classifications by age of the mother, but data on age is often lacking. The problem of age misreporting also complicates matters. For example, a birth to a woman whose true age is twenty-nine will fall in the 25-29 age category if she reported her true age. However, such births are often entered in the next age category if the woman reported herself to be 30. This is called rounding (by respondents) and is a common practice.

Age Group	Population of women *	Number of babies born**	Age-specific birth rate, 2008 (per 1000)***
15-19	4032325	419362	104
20-24	3664510	835508	228
25-29	3177429	765760	241
30-34	2660634	614606	231
35-39	2181807	349089	160
40-44	1783644	15006	84
45-49	1435124	48794	34
Total	18,935,473	3,048126	TFR = 5.4

 Table 5.3
 Calculation of Age Specific Birth Rates All Women (2008)

*CSA estimate for July 2008 [4]

**Calculated on the basis of age-specific birth rates in the 2005 DHS

The numbers in column 4 show births per 1000 women in that age group. For example, 104 for the 15 - 19 age group, 228 for the 20 - 24 age group, 241 for the 25 - 29 age group, etc. The figure of 241 for the 25-29 group represents a peak age of reproductivity.

Age specific firth rates can be graphed. Fig 5.5 shows a typical shape of an ASFR curve, reflecting a rapid rise at first, a peak in the mid to late twenties, and a gradual decline to low levels after age 40. As has been observed by Newell [6] "these regularities make [ASFRs] amenable to mathematical modeling". One such model is Brass's (1981) Relational Gompertz Model (RGM).



Fig. 5.5 Age Specific Birth Rate per Thousand (DHS 2016) by Urban-rural Residence, and for All Women.

Source: [1]

It is important to note that births to women less than 15 years of age are customarily added to the births in the 15-19 age group. Likewise, births to women reporting themselves as older than 50 are entered in the 45-49 group with the assumption that they are overstating their age.

Age-specific fertility is much higher in rural Ethiopia (for all age groups) than in rural Ethiopia. The widest and narrowest gaps are in the 15-19 and 25-29 age groups respectively. See the table below:

DHS 2016 Rural A than urban age speci	DHS 2016 Rural Age-specific an urban age specific fertility b			
Age	Factor			
group				
15-19	4.9			
20-24	2.0			
25-29	2.0			
30-34	1.9			
35-39	2.0			
40-44	5.7			
45-49	0			

The main disadvantage of the age specific fertility rates in Table 5.3 and Figure 5.5 is simply that there are a lot of numbers to look at. "This makes comparisons complex and tedious" [6]. In Fig 5.5 for instance, there are a set of seven numbers corresponding to the seven age groups. Fortunately, demographers have devised a technique to allow for the computation of a single number to represent the level of completed fertility. The measure is known as the total fertility rate (TFR). The ease of interpretation as well as the possibility of comparing several populations on the basis of a simple period fertility rate, has made the TFR the most widely used measure of fertility.

Total Fertility Rate

The table below presents the data needed for the calculation of age specific fertility rates (ASFR) and total fertility rate (TFR). The 1994 census data for rural women in the Tigray has been selected.



where are the minimum and maximum ages at childbearing. n is the age interval which, usually, is 5 years.

 $_{n}F_{x}$ [0,T) has been defined as the age specific fertilit rate (ASFR).

The total fertility rate shows the total number of children a woman would have if she were to experience at each age, the observed age specific fertility rates, and survive to the end of her reproductive life span. "These rates may pertain either to birth cohorts of women passing through life, or more commonly, to the set of age specific fertility rates of a particular period" (Preston, 2000 p. 94). For Ethiopia, this could refer, for instance, to a cohort of women born in the entire country on the 1st of January 1971 (this gives a cohort rate); or all Ethiopian women aged 15 to 49 alive between January 1st and December 31st of 2007 (a period rate). The total fertility rate TFR is, simply the sum total of values in col. 4 of Table 5.3, which equals 1.187, multiplied by 5. We multiply by 5 because the individual rates refer to five-year age groups denoted by *n* in the formula. Multiplying by 5 gives the true rate over the five-year interval used in the Table. This has been shown as the last row of values in Table 5.3. The product 5.4 represents the total number of children rural Ethiopian women would have at the end of their reproductive years if the 2005 ASFRs (col.

4) remained unchanged throughout their reproductive period. Note that there is no need to multiply the sum of ASFRs by five if single-year intervals are used, and that is why the TFR equation does not specify multiplication by five.

"The total fertility rate is recommended as an easy-to-compute and effective measure of age-sex-adjusted fertility for year-to-year or area-to-area comparisons" [8]. Moreover, the TFR is of great value in controlling differences in fertility caused by variations in the age structure of the population, as opposed to actual variations in the reproductive performance of, say, educated women and uneducated women in the Amhara region. In comparison, "the GFR only partially controls for age structure, and the CBR does not at all" [6]

Age group	ASFR 2000	ASFR 2005	ASFR 2011	ASFR 2016
15-19	0.1	0.104	0.079	0.08
20-24	0.235	0.228	0.206	0.2
25-29	0.251	0.241	0.237	0.214
30-34	0.243	0.231	0.194	0.19
35-39	0.168	0.16	0.147	0.138
40-44	0.089	0.084	0.069	0.069
Sum (45-49)	1.086	1.048	0.932	0.891
Sum x 5	1.086 x 5	1.048 x 5	0.932 x 5	0.891 x 5
TFR 15-49	5.43	5.24	4.66	4.455

Table 5.4 Calculation of Total Fertility Rates (TFR) for Ethiopia 2000, 2005, 2011, 2016 from Age Specific Birth Rates (ASFR)

Source: Based on [1]

As is the case with most other rates in population studies, the usefulness of calculated TFRs such as those shown in Table 5.4 depends on the quality of the underlying data. Moreover, great swings in coverage, or differences in completeness of data over time, as well as differences in the truthfulness of interview responses from one place to another, will r e s u l t in spurious, but noticeable (or even dramatic) changes in fertility levels from one year to another, and over geographic regions.

Fertility Trends 1990 to 2016

Fertility is on a decline in Ethiopia; good news from the demographic front. The 2005 DHS gave a total fertility rate (the average number of children born to an Ethiopian woman between the ages of 15 and 49) of 5.4 which dropped to 4.6 in the 2016 DHS for the 3 years preceding the survey [1]. However, these are *period rates*, based on responses given at the time of the surveys, and do not necessarily reflect what the average fertility has been in the years before the surveys, or will be in the future when the cohorts of women involved in the study actually complete their reproductive performance.





Figure 5.4A represents a drop by one child per woman for the 16-year period between DHS 2000 and DHS 2016. This, if sustained, represents a major decline. "Fertility peaks at age 25-29 (214 births per 1,000 women) and drops thereafter, to 22 births per 1,000 women in the 45-49 age group. Age-specific fertility rates are lower in urban areas than in rural areas among women in all age groups. On average, rural women have 2.9 more children than urban women (5.2 versus 2.3 children)" [1].



Figure 5.5 Total Fertility Rate (TFR) of Ethiopia's Regions by Demographic and Health Survey Year

Figure 5.4B shows the percentage of married women by the number of children ever born. With the percentage of 40- to 50-year-old women reporting zero children at 1.5 percent or lower, the figure shows that childlessness is uncommon in Ethiopia. On the opposite end on the spectrum, it also shows that, at 17.4 percent for women in the 45 to 49 age group, having double-digit number of children is not uncommon.

Figure 5.4B. Percent distribution of currently married women age 15-49 by number of children ever born, and by age group, Ethiopia DHS 2016



Source: Based on [1]

The final report of DHS 2016 gave the below summary about recent fertility levels and/or trends in Ethiopia.

- By region, total fertility rate is highest in Somali (7.2 children per woman) and lowest in Addis Ababa (1.8 children per woman)
- Education appears to have a huge impact as well with the number of children per woman declining with increasing education. Women with more than secondary education have 3.8 fewer children than women with no education (1.9 children versus 5.7 children)

- The 2016 survey also collected responses on the number of children ever born to all women (married or not) age 15-49 and those still surviving by the time of the survey. The results show that, on average, women age 45-49 have given birth to 6.6 children, of whom 5.4 survived to the time of the survey.
- Of the approximately 7.0 children on average born to currently married women age 45-49, 5.8 survived to the time of the survey.
- The percentage of currently married women age 45-49 that have never given birth (approximately 2%), could be viewed as a measure of primary sterility in Ethiopia as voluntary childlessness is rare in the country.
- Older women take longer to have another birth (they have a longer birth intervals) than younger women. The median birth interval among women age 15-19 is nearly 15 months shorter than the interval among women age 45-49 (24.5 versus 39.0 months).
- Additionally, the median birth interval is 8 months shorter if the child from the preceding birth has died than if the child is living. However, there is no difference in the median birth interval by sex of the child.
- Urban women have longer birth intervals than rural women (56.8 versus 34.0 versus months).
- Regionally, the median birth interval ranges from 25.1 months in Somali *Kilil* to 47.6 months in Addis Ababa.
- "Median birth intervals increase with increasing education and wealth. For example, birth intervals among women with more than a secondary education are 13.7 months longer than intervals among women with no education (47.7 months versus 34.0 months). Likewise, birth intervals among women in the highest wealth quintile are 10.9 months longer than those among women in the lowest quintile (43.0 versus 32.1 months)" [1].

Regional and Educational Differentials: Brief summary

Excluding the predominantly urban regions of Addis Ababa, Dire Dawa and Harari, total fertility in Ethiopia ranges from 4 in Gambella to 6.0 in Somalia and 6.2 in Oromiya. In other words, given current rates, women in the latter two regions will have 2 more children each than those in Gambella by the time they complete their reproductive life. Women in Tigray, Afar, Amhara, and Benishangul-Gumuz will have about 5 children each. With a TFR of 5.6, women in SNNPR fall mid-way between the highest and intermediate fertility regions.

Fig. 5.6 includes percentages of married women currently pregnant. For the non-urban regions named above, this varies from a high rate of 10 percent in Somali, Benishangul-Gumuz, and SNNPR to a low rate of 7.2 percent in Amhara. There is a curious mismatch Click here to return to the main page \rightarrow www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org

for Gambella in that, whereas the region has the lowest total fertility rate (TFR) there are greater percentages of currently pregnant women here than in the Amhara region. Could the explanation be that higher rates of miscarriages or abortions or still-births in Gambella, or underreporting of live births have resulted in low the TFR of 4.0? A follow-up study might reveal the true reasons behind these contradictory numbers.

The middle curve relates to the reported number of children ever born to individual married women. Expectedly, the numbers mirror, more or less, the observed TFR variations by region.

The difference by education is a lot more pronounced than the difference by geography/region. Fertility levels are inversely related to women's education, decreasing rapidly from 6.1 children among women with no education to 2.0 children among women with at least some secondary education. Fertility is also associated with wealth status. Women in the lowest wealth quintile have a TFR of 6.6, which is twice as high as that of women in the highest quintile.

Fig 5.6. Total fertility rate for the 3 years preceding the survey, percentage of women age 15-49 currently pregnant, and mean number of children ever born to women age 40-49, according to background characteristics, Ethiopia DHS 2016

Residence	Total Fertility rate	% Women 15-49 currently pregnant	Mean number of children ever born
Urban	2.3	4.6	4.3
Rural	5.2	8	6.8
Region			
Tigray	4.7	5	6.1
Affar	5.5	9.5	6.5
Amhara	3.7	5.9	6.2
Oromiya	5.4	8.3	6.7
Somali	7.2	12.9	7.4
Benishangul-Gumuz	4.4	7.3	6.7
SNNPR	4.4	8	6.9
Gambela	3.5	5.9	4.9
Harari	4.1	9.2	4.3
Addis	1.8	2.6	2.6
Dire	3.1	5.5	5.2
Education			
No	5.7	8.1	6.8
Primary	4.2	7.2	5.8
Secondary	2.2	5.2	2.9
More than secondary	1.9	4.5	3.1

Source: Based on [1]

A careful look at global values of TFR, confirms the strong inverse relationship between fertility on the one hand and levels of socio-economic development on the other. South Africa and north African countries including Egypt, Tunisia, and Morocco, have rates that conform to the inverse relationship model, with a relatively high GNP per capita, and a low TFR. Most of the Sub-Saharan countries where the per capita GNPs are low, and fertility levels very high, also conform to the inverse relationship model.

Table 5.5 presents the total fertility rate for African countries for the 1960 to 2018 period. It shows that South Africa's and Tunisia's total fertility rate has decreased so much that it is now approaching the replacement TFR of 2.1 (a case where parents are able to replace themselves by having two children; the fraction of 0.1 accounts for mortality).

Table 5.5 Total Fertility Rates for Africa (year 2007) by Country in DescendingOrder.



Click here to return to the main page \rightarrow <u>www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org</u>

Niger and Guinea Bissau have the highest TFR in Africa and in the world. Given the histories of civil conflict and widespread economic disruptions and deterioration in standard of living in the countries of Somalia and the Democratic Republic of Congo high TFR values might be a reflection of lack of access to family planning services.

The total fertility rate could be refined to only include a subset of women in their reproductive years. One such refinement will produce *parity-specific (p) fertility rates*. Parity refers to a woman's total number of previous births. For example, the total fertility rate of first-parity women (women giving birth for the first time) could be expressed as the total number of births to previously childless women divided by the total number of previously childless women. In this way, parity-specific rates for the second parity (birth to women with one prior birth), third parity (births to women with two prior births), etc. can be calculated. The rates are denoted by ($F_P[0,T]$), and could be computed using the formula:

$_{n}F_{x}[0,T] = Births in the period 0 to T to women at parity P$. Person-years lived in the period 0 to T by women at parity P

A more commonly used refinement of the total fertility rate recognizes the differences in fertility between married and unmarried women. It is called the total marital fertility rate (**TMFR**). We obtain the TMFR by combining age and marital specific fertility rates. Age- specific marital fertility rates are defined as:

$_{n}F_{x}[0,T] = Births in the period 0 to T to married women aged x to x+n$. Person-years lived in the period 0 to T by married women aged x to x+n

Comparison of the TFR and TMFR values reveals the contribution of a given nuptiality (marriage) pattern to observed fertility levels.

"The ratio, TFR/TMFR, appears as a weighted average of the proportion married in each age interval, the weight being the contribution of an age group to the total marital fertility rate. The ratio is thus a fertility weighted average of proportions married by age. The comparison of fertility rates standardized on two dimensions (age and marital status in the TMFR) and on one of these dimensions (age only in TFR) provides an assessment of the impact of the other dimension (marriage) on fertility. [3].

GIS map-based comparisons between Ethiopia, Eriterea and Kenya are given in Appendix 1, 2, and 3 at the end on this lesson.

COHORT FERTILITY

Total fertility is a cumulative process that depends on a number of factors. Moreover, a woman's past fertility may affect her future reproductive performance. Preston [3] defines cohort fertility as "the average number of children who would be born to an actual birth cohort of women if they had all survived to the end their reproductive period, and born children at each age at the rate observed for the surviving members of the cohort at each age". Shryock and Siegel [8] define a cohort as follows:

A cohort consists of a group of individuals who experienced the same significant demographic event during a specified period of time, usually a year, and who may be identified as a group at successive later dates on the basis of this common demographic experience. Examples are a birth cohort, persons born during the same year or years; a marriage cohort, persons married during the same year or years; a first parity cohort, women who had a first child in a given year...and a cohort of deceased persons, persons who died in a given year.

The cohort TFR can only be calculated when all living members of a birth cohort, for instance women born in Arsi (currently part of Oromiya) in 1970, have reached the end of their childbearing years in 2019. In other words, the total cohort fertility rate (TCFR) for the birth cohort of 1970 won't be known until about 2019. If the fertility of the cohort members who died before the end of year 2019 were the same at each age as that of the women who survived, their cohort total fertility rate would be identical to that of the women who survived to the end of the childbearing years. Moreover, if demographic rates at every age remain constant over time with 26 year old women, for instance, having the same age specific fertility as the 26 year olds born in all the years after that, there would be no difference in total fertility calculated on the bases of the long-term (cohort) approach, or the cross-sectional (period) approach shown in Table 5.4. Note, however, that period and cohort values will differ even if cohort fertility has remained constant over time, if the age pattern of fertility has changed overtime. For example, the 1984 drought, which claimed an estimated one million lives in then Tigray and Wello provinces of Ethiopia significantly reduced the period fertility of women in the two provinces in that year. However, Tigyian and Wello women who have experienced "loss" or "misplacement" of births are assumed to have "caught up" by having additional births than they would otherwise have, in the following years, thus ending up with the same number of births. In other words, cohort fertility rates are, generally, less variable than period fertility rates. A cohort rate avoids the pitfalls of rate variations in response to social changes (such as a rise in marriage age or changes in time-intervals between successive births), or natural vicissitudes of the kind discussed above. The cohort approach is not without its own shortcomings, however.

There are three big problems associated with cohort analysis. The first is that it requires data in the form of a fairly long, consistent time series. Such data are rare, even in highly developed societies. The second is that of a problem known technically as 'censoring'. This refers to the fact that one does not know what will happen in the future. In other words, the later experiences of young or more recent cohorts cannot be known ahead of time. The third is that it is rather difficult to think about cohorts. Our minds are so used to thinking in a cross-sectional ways that it is hard to think in any other way [6].

Quantum vs. Tempo

A related problem is that of telling apart the magnitude of fertility changes resulting from real increase or decrease in the number of births from changes induced by altered timing such as when couples advance births forward or postpone them. In demography, a timing shift is known as a 'tempo' change whereas a real increase or a decrease in fertility between successive cohorts is known as 'quantum' change. One cannot know if the

various variables affecting fertility had a tempo effect or a quantum effect until the cohorts of interest have completed child bearing. For example, the total fertility rate in Kenya reached 8 births per woman in the 1980's giving the appearance that the average Kenyan woman will have a total of eight births at the conclusion of her reproductive years. The rate is now about 4.5; suggesting that the current projection of completed family size for a Kenyan woman is three and a half births less than the projections of the 1980s. The difference between the number of births a Kenyan woman was projected to have at the conclusion of her reproductive life on the basis of the 1980s values, and the real values observed when the women actually complete their reproductive years, is a difference attributable to *quantum* change. This shows that something happened in Kenya in the later decades to bring about a real difference in the actual numbers of births Kenyan women ended up having. The difference between the rates in mid-eighties and the decades since is an example of a *tempo* change in fertility. It shows that Kenyan women slowed their reproductive performance a great deal in the run toward the finish line (their 50th birthday).

PARITY PROGRASSION RATIO

The age of a woman is the single most important determinant of her fertility, and places the ultimate limit on the span of her reproductive lifetime. The changes in her fertility can, therefore, be traced by following her movement from one age to another. Age is not the only variable to trace in the analysis of fertility, however. A woman's **progression from one parity** (the number of children ever born – CEB) to the next can also be analyzed. The latter can be represented by a measure known in demographic literature as the Parity Progression Ratio (**PPR**). As indicated in previous pages, a woman's parity is the sum total of all of the births she gave. The parity progression ratio is a measure of a woman's, or groups of women's, progression from one parity (i + 1). It is a measure of the proportion of a birth cohort of women, say those born in Arsi in 1970 who had at least i live births, and who went on to have at least one additional birth:

 $PPR_{(i,i+1)} = \frac{Number \ of \ women \ at \ parity \ i + 1}{Number \ of \ women \ at \ parity \ i \ or \ more} Pi$

The PPR simply measures <u>the probability that a woman who has given birth to a certain number of children</u> <u>would go on to have one more child.</u> For, example, if a woman has already given birth, the PPR would state the probability of her having a second as (a_1) . Note that a_0 is simply the proportion of women in a birth or marriage cohort who became mothers. Table 5.5 shows the calculation of parity progression ratios for the Tigray region of Ethiopia. The data come from the 1994 population and housing census [9]. All women between the ages of 45 and 49 are selected regardless of marital status. The PPR_(i,i+1) column shows the probability of Tigrian women making a transition from one parity to the next. For example, if the experience of those in the 45-49 year age group at the time of the 1994 census were to remain the same for the foreseeable future, 95.7 percent of Tigrian women "currently" in their reproductive years will become mothers, 96.3 percent will have

at least one child, 94.9 percent will have at least two children, etc. The probabilities are computed by dividing the entries in a given row of column 3 (women aged 45-49 with at least the stated number of prior births, labeled here as CEB - children ever born), by an entry in a previous row of the same column. The second column shows the number of women in the stated parity (total number of births)

		Women 45 -49		
	Women	With at least		
Parity	Aged 45 - 49	СЕВ	PPR _(i,i+1)	PPR (0,i)
0	2230	52401	0.9574 (a0)	
1	1812	50171	0.9634 (a1)	0.9574
2	2485	48359	0.9486 (a2)	0.9224
3	3522	45874	0.9232(a3)	0.8749
4	4529	43352	0.8931(a4)	0.8078
5	5533	37823	0.8537(a5)	0.7214
6	6588	32290	0.7960(a6)	0.6159
7	6978	25702	0.7285(a7)	0.4902
8	6785	18724	0.6376(a8)	0.3571
9	5183	11939	0.5659(a9)	0.2277
10	3459	6756	0.4880(a10)	0.1289
11+	3297	3297		0.0629
Sum	52,401			6.1666

Table 5.5Parity Progression Ratios, Women Aged 45 – 49, Tigray, Ethiopia (1994)

All of the columns in Table 5.5, except the last, have been explained above. The PPR_(0,i) values are simply the products of two consecutive a_i values. For example, the entry in the second row (0.9224) is the product of a_0 and a_1 (0.9574 x 0.9634). The sum total of all of the entries (6.1666) represents the <u>total cohort fertility</u> <u>rate</u> (TFR^C) of women in Tigray.

According to Preston (2000: p. 104) "If we denote as P_i the number of women at parity i or more and *W* as the total number of women, then the number of first births will equal P_1 , of second births p_2 , etc., and:

$$\underline{P_{1}} + \underline{P_{2}} + \underline{P_{3}} + \dots (\underline{TFR}^{C}) = W$$

$$= \underline{P_{1}} + \underline{P_{1}} \times \underline{P_{2}} + \underline{P_{1}} \times \underline{P_{2}} \times \underline{P_{2}} \times \underline{P_{3}} + \dots \underline{W} \quad W \quad P_{1}$$

$$= PPR_{(0,1)} + PPR_{(0,1)} + PPR_{(1,2)} + PPR_{(0,1)} + PPR_{(1,2)} + PPR_{(2,3)} + \dots$$

Click here to return to the main page \rightarrow <u>www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org</u>

Appendix 1. Total Fertility Rate Ethiopia, Eritrea and Kenya

Total fertility rate 15-49 Total fertility rate for the three years preceding the survey for age group 15-49 expressed per woman

Country	Survey year	Total fertility rate 15-49
Eritrea	2002 DHS	4.8
Eritrea	1995 DHS	6.1
Ethiopia	2016 DHS	4.6
Ethiopia	2011 DHS	4.8
Ethiopia	2005 DHS	5.4
Ethiopia	2000 DHS	5.5
Kenya	2015 MIS	3.7
Kenya	2014 DHS	3.9
Kenya	2008-09 DHS	4.6
Kenya	2003 DHS	4.9
Kenya	1998 DHS	4.7
Kenya	1993 DHS	5.4
Kenya	1989 DHS	6.7

Source: The DHS Program STATcompiler. Funded by USAID. http://www.statcompiler.com. Accessed January 25 2021



Map: Total Fertility Rate: Ethiopia, Eritrea, and Kenya

Appendix 2. Percentage of currently married or in union women currently using any method of contraception, Kenya, Ethiopia, and Eritrea, 1995 to 2016

Country	Survey	Percent practicing any contraception
Eritrea	2002 DHS	8.0
Eritrea	1995 DHS	8.0
Ethiopia	2016 DHS	35.9
Ethiopia	2011 DHS	28.6
Ethiopia	2005 DHS	14.7
Ethiopia	2000 DHS	8.1
Kenya	2014 DHS	58.0
Kenya	2008-09 DHS	45.5
Kenya	2003 DHS	39.3
Kenya	1998 DHS	39.0
Kenya	1993 DHS	32.7
Kenya	1989 DHS	26.9

Map: Married women currently using any method of contraception, Ethiopia, Eritrea, and Kenya



Country	Survey	Women age 15-49
Ethiopia	2016 DHS	42
Ethiopia	2011 DHS	38.4
Ethiopia	2005 DHS	29.2
Ethiopia	2000 DHS	24.4
Kenya	2015 MIS	87.7
Kenya	2014 DHS	87.8
Kenya	2008-09 DHS	84.9
Kenya	2003 DHS	78.5

Appendix 3. Percentage of Women who are Literate, Ethiopia and Kenya

Map: Percentage of Women who are Literate, Ethiopia and Kenya by Region/Province



Click here to return to the main page \rightarrow <u>www.EthioDemographyAndHealth.Org</u>

References:

- 1. Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2016 Central Statistical Agency, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, RC Macro, Calverton, Maryland, USA, July, 2017
- 2. Dennis P. Hogan and Betemariam Berhanu. Social Idensiity and Community in the Fertility of Southern Ethiopian Women. In Samuel Agyei-Mensah and John B. Casterline (eds.) Reproduction and social context in sub-Saharan Africa: a collection of micro-demographic studies. Greenwood Publishing Group, Inc., Westport, CT. 2003
- 3. Samuel Preston (et.al). Demography: Measuring and Modeling Population Processes. Blackwell Publishers. 2001.
- **4.** Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia, The 2008 National Statistics, http://www.csa.gov.et/text_files/2008_national_statistics.htm
- 5. http://www.prb.org/pdf07/07WPDS_Eng.pdf
- 6. Newell, Colin, 1988. Methods and Models in demography. New York: Guilford Press
- 7. The World Bank, Birth rate, crude (per 1,000 people). https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.CBRT.INhttp://www.prb.org/pdf07/07 WPDS_Eng.pdf
- 8. The World Bank, Fertility rate, total (births per woman). https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.DYN.TFRT.IN
- Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia. Office of Population and Housing Census Central Statistical Authority. The 1994 Population and Housing Census of Ethiopia. Results for TIGRAY REGION. Vol. I Statistical Report. Addis Ababa. 1995.